

[music](#) | [philosophy](#) | [photos](#) | [videos](#) | [thoughts](#) | [news](#) | [contact](#) |

Voted for Labour. Got a Tory

How many fewer than 3 in every 10 eligible voters have to vote before it is recognised that UK politicians increasingly govern without popular consent. Voting in a Labour government and ending up with a Tory leader can hardly have inspired young people's confidence in the two party system. When your result produces this kind of anomaly, not voting makes a great deal of sense.

I am sure I am not alone in thinking that the stronger political statement is not to condone the status quo with a vote, but to deny a vote (and therefore, credibility) to a very flawed system which suits the power elite.

Isn't it more likely that a fundamental change in the flawed two party system will occur only by more people refusing to condone the status quo with a vote.

Let's say 1 in 10 people end up voting. No one can pretend that this is a healthy democracy.

Ends | 5 May 2006 | The Leg

[post a comment](#) | [back to top](#) | [thoughts](#)

Related articles:

2014: Hilary Clinton - the 1st female President

What's the point? Voter apathy in inner-city Manchester

Russell Brand: we deserve more from our democratic system

No real choice is killing voting in the UK/US

The Idiocy of Politics

2010: Voted for Lib Dems, got a Tory

Feb 10: Under Blair, Cabinet did not discuss issues properly

Aug 08: Cameron now looks like PM-in-waiting

Jun 08: Tories head for jugs majority as poll lead grows

May 07: Tories 'are heirs to Blair'



